FISCAL ESTIMA					~	VCA.	1995 Session		
FISCAL ESTIMA		ţ	ORIGINAL	UPD/		acker	LRB or Bill No./Adm. Rule No. 5055/1 D. A. A.		
DOA-2048 N(R10/	(0.41)	) بر <i>ن</i> ر د	□ CORRECTE	D 🗆 SUPF	PLEMENTAL	3-4-51	Amendment No. if Applicable		
Subject RENTAL WEATH	HERIZATIO	ON				7-9k			
Fiscal Effect									
State:  No State Fiscal Effect  Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.						☐ Increase Costs - May be possible to Absorb  Within Agency's Budget ☐ Yes ☐ No			
<ul> <li>☐ Increase Existing Appropriation</li> <li>☐ Decrease Existing Appropriation</li> <li>☐ Create New Appropriation</li> </ul>			☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues			☐ Decrease Costs			
Local:  No local government costs  1.			3.	ndatory	5. Types of Local Government Units Affected:  Towns Villages Cities  Counties Chers  School Districts WTCS Districts				
Fund Sources Affect		PRO □PR			<ul> <li>A contract of the contract of the</li></ul>	ı. 20 Approp			
Assumptions Used in		<del></del>		] SEG-S	445	<u>(1)(j)</u>			
Although ther	e will be a	raduation	in ravanua fra						
The revenue of the previous the SFY93 SFY94 SFY95	ased work decrease i	uate reven doads in ot s estimated years:	ue to support o her areas, whi	existing staff. ` ich will genera	These staff te additiona	will be ass I revenue i	nt revenue igned to n the future. this program in		
The revenue of the previous the SFY93 SFY94 SFY95 TOTAL	sased work decrease is hree fiscal \$364,774 446,482 438,881 1,250,137	uate reven dioads in ot s estimated years:	ue to support o her areas, whi	existing staff. ` ich will genera	These staff te additiona	will be ass I revenue i	igned to n the future.		
The revenue of the previous the SFY93 SFY94 SFY95 TOTAL	sased work decrease is hree fiscal \$364,774 446,482 438,881 1,250,137	uate reven dioads in ot s estimated years:	ue to support of the areas, whi	existing staff. ` ich will genera	These staff te additiona	will be ass I revenue i	igned to n the future.		
The revenue of the previous the SFY93 SFY94 SFY95 TOTAL	sased work decrease is hree fiscal \$364,774 446,482 438,881 1,250,137	uate reven dioads in ot s estimated years:	ue to support of the areas, whi	existing staff. ` ich will genera	These staff te additiona	will be ass I revenue i	igned to n the future.		
The revenue of the previous the SFY93 SFY94 SFY95 TOTAL	sased work decrease is hree fiscal \$364,774 446,482 438,881 1,250,137	uate reven dioads in ot s estimated years:	ue to support of the areas, whi	existing staff. ` ich will genera	These staff te additiona	will be ass I revenue i	igned to n the future.		
The revenue of the previous the previous the SFY93 SFY94 SFY95 TOTAL AVERAGE	sect adequased work decrease is hree fiscal \$364,774 446,482 438,881 1,250,137 \$416,712	uate reven dioads in ot s estimated years:	ue to support of the areas, whi	existing staff. ` ich will genera	These staff te additiona	will be ass I revenue i	igned to n the future.		
The revenue of the previous the SFY93 SFY94 SFY95 TOTAL	sect adequased work decrease is hree fiscal \$364,774 446,482 438,881 1,250,137 \$416,712	uate reven dioads in ot s estimated years:	ue to support of the areas, whi	existing staff. ` ich will genera	These staff te additiona	will be ass I revenue i	igned to n the future.		

Date led Fetimete of Appual Fiscal Effect	DATED LRB o		Adm. Rule No.	Amendment N	lo.	
Subject RENTAL WEATHERIZATION	-	5				
One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Go	overnment (do not	include	in annualize	d fiscal effect:)		
. Annualized Costs:		Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from:				
		Increase	ed Costs	Decreased Costs		
A. State Costs by Category  State Operations - Salaries and Fringes		\$	0	\$	0	
(FTE Position Changes)		(FTI	E)	(FTE)		
State Operations - Other Costs		\$	0	\$	0	
Local Assistance		\$	0	\$ .	0	
Aids to Individuals or Organizations		\$	0	\$	0	
TOTAL State Costs by Category		\$	0	\$	0	
B. State Costs by Source of Funds		Increased Costs Decreased Cost				
GPR		\$	0	\$	0	
FED		\$	0	\$	0	
PRO/PRS		\$	0	\$	0	
SEG/SEG-S		\$	o	\$	0	
Complete this only when proposal will increase o	or decrease state	Increased Rev.		Decreased Rev.		
revenues (e.g. tax increase, decrease in license to GPR Taxes	fee, etc.)	\$	0	\$	, 0	
GPR Earned		\$	0	\$	0	
FED		\$	0	\$	0	
PRO/PRS		\$	0	\$	0	
SEG/SEG-S		\$	0	\$	0	
TOTAL State Revenues		\$	0	\$ -41	6,700	
NET ANNUALIZED			LOCAL			
NET CHANGE IN COSTS \$	0		\$	. 0		
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES \$ -416	,700		\$	0		
Agency/Prepared by:(Name & Phone No.)  DILHR Robert DuPont 266-8984	ithorized Signature/Tele		· - b9	Date 02/14/96	3	

## WEATHERIZATION OPERATORS OF WISCONSIN

410 Arcadian Avenue, Waukesha, Wisconsin 53186-5086

April 1, 1996

Rep. Carol Owens Assembly Housing Committee P.O. Box 8952 Madison, WI 53708-8952

Dear Rep. Owens and Committee,

I find it incredible that your Housing Committee is even considering AB 962 that would eliminate requirements for rental unit energy efficiency. It is documented that lower income residents spend considerably more for energy than the residential population as a whole. Weatherization of property has been shown to be able to reduce the energy use of a residence by up to 25%. That savings continues for years according to the nature of the materials involved.

Eliminating such a program that saves enery, the environment, and stimulates the local economy would seem only to play into the hands of the self-interest of property owners who care only to squeeze the most profit out of housing stock that needs help. If you care about the quality of life for local residents, this code requirement should be upheld.

Now there is a need and a plan in the making to review and update the code requirements of this DILHR rental energy code. Effort has been made to bridge the differences between the DILHR code and the regulations of the DOE State Weatherization Program. Members of our association are scheduled to sit on a DILHR committee to look at updating the DILHR regulations. There is the potential to update the code and add more cooperation between state agencies and programs that will help rental residents and property owners.

Given the changes of W-2 that will affect the working (and renting) poor, this abandonment of rental energy efficiency codes is the wrong idea. Please reject AB962 and also the Senate equivalent SB 567.

Thank you very much for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Neale Thompson, Secretary, Weatherization Operators of Wisconsin

Phone: (608)-755-2463 Fax: (608-755-2681

APR-04-1996 09:41

P&G GREEN BAY

4144332199

TO: 6082667038

PAGE:

4144332199 P.01

## Thomas G. Sladek 2634 Seguoia Lane Green Bay, Wisconsin 54313-4933

Representative Carol Owens, Chair Assembly Committee on Housing Madison Wisconsin

April 3, 1996

Dear Representative Owens:

I am writing in support of AB 962, which would sunset the rental unit energy efficiency program administered by DILHR.

Since its inception I have opposed the rental unit energy efficiency program. I see it as a program which unfairly singles out a particular class of property and imposes unnecessary constraints on the free sales and exchange of such properties. I believe the program is a prime example of unwarranted government interference in the real estate marketplace.

Because I am unable to attend the hearing on this bill April 4th, I am submitting these written comments and I ask that you distribute my letter to members of your committee.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Thomas G. Sladek

(414) 433-2620

FAX TO 608 266 7038